

Approved For Release 2005/03/15 : CIA-RDP66B00403R000100240031-9

19 May 1964

## MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

SUBJECT: Briefing of Mr. James Kendall, Staff Director, and Colonel Glenn Smith, Staff Member of the Senate Preparedness Investigating Subcommittee

l. On Monday, 18 May 1964, OSI,
accompanied by briefed Messrs. Kendall and Smith on
the status of the Soviet atomic energy programs. The briefing was
on an all-source basis and included the status of Soviet construction of
atomic energy plants, uranium development and Soviet weapons
programs. pointed out the extreme sensitivity of
certain aspects of this information and both men acknowledged their
understanding of this. He answered questions raised by both Kendall
2. The briefing lasted approximately an hour and a half and
at the close, Colonel Smith made several requests. He asked if we
were still sending the intelligence estimates on the Soviet atomic
energy program to the Joint Committee on Atomic Energy and we
replied that we were. Smith then asked if he could also receive these
estimates in the ll-2 series. He asked for a copy of the table which
used in the briefing (classified Secret) and was advised that
we would be glad to give him one. He also asked if he could be put
on the "alert list" as the JCAE is for any Soviet tests or firings.
said he saw no problem in doing this. With respect to
Smith's request for the NIE, I indicated that, as he knew, this was a
question which would have to be taken up with higher authority. Both
Smith and Kendall understood this and I agreed to raise the matter.
I advised the Staff Members that the problem was not one of personalities
but of physical security facilities. Kendall said he wanted to state in all
frankness that the Atomic Energy Commission, on recent examination of



25X1

the Subcommittee's facilities, had indicated they were not "too happy"

OFFICE

with the Subcommittee's arrangement but, as Kendall put it, AEC had not "scratched them off the list." It was noted by all present that the JCAE has a vault within a restricted area whereas the Preparedness Subcommittee does not. I asked Kendall if Preparedness had given any consideration to vault arrangements and from his reply I gathered this has not been the subject of serious consideration. In answer to my question, he said they are receiving Top Secret/Restricted Data material from both the AEC and the Department of Defense.

3. The problem of the NIEs is a difficult one. While everyone acknowledges that Preparedness does not have security facilities even approaching those of the JCAE, Preparedness is a Subcommittee of the Armed Services Committee to whom we report through the CIA Subcommittee. It might be appropriate for the Director to raise this question with Senator Russell at the next briefing of the Subcommittee.

Assistant Legislative Counsel

25X1

Distribution:

Original - Subject

1 - Leg. Counsel chrono

OSI

25X1

SEGRET